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Motivation
Quick overview of thesis subject

“Lightweight Localized Video Quality Metric: A Perceptual Approach Boosted by Computer Vision”

Development of a metric for a localized spatial-time horizon to lead decision in codecs.

Existing metrics (VMAF …) developed for large horizons, entire video shot

Current distortion evaluation metrics for Codec are pixel based or not tuned on local perception of HVS
Perceptually optimized video coding

- Video encoders take decision at Coding Unit size
- Rules of human eye perception is:
  - spatially located, 1° of visual angle (= CU size)
  - temporally located: gaze fixation movement, (100 to 200ms)
  - aligned along the direction of an object: pursuit gaze

→ Interesting to work with tubes for local decision based on short spatio-temporal perception
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Collecting Ground Truth Efficiently

how can we collect ground truth data? which are the available subjective methodologies? Which one is the most efficient?

● Available subjective methodologies:
  ○ Pairwise comparison, (with boosting strategies as ARD, Hybrid-MST[1], ASAP[2] …)
  ○ Quadruplets, triplets, 2-AFC, …

● From subjective judgments to perceptual continuum:
  ○ Bradley-Terry, Thurstonian models, …

Why Maximum Likelihood Difference Scaling

- Stimuli are pre-ordered along a physical continuum, with the assumption that larger alterations introduce a higher perceptual difference
- Numerous research works using this methodology for intra content only scaling:
  - MLDS can benefit in terms of discriminatory power
  - Cognitive load
  - And number of trials required

Disadvantage: MLDS estimated perceptual scale of different sources are generally not comparable. (0 to 1 scale)

What we are trying to solve?
Procedure to integrate into the estimation procedure of MLDS the scaling of inter-content
Maximum Likelihood Difference Scaling
MLDS Solving Method

- Judgements on quadruplets are asked to observers
- Then stored in a matrix $X$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

- The obtained judgments are converted to numerical values $(\phi_1^i, ..., \phi_n^i)$ using a Generalized Linear Model

$$\pi(x) = F(\phi_1 X_1 + \phi_2 X_2 + ... + \phi_n X_n)$$
Solving for Inter Content Scaling

A set of inter-content quadruplets are added, observers are asked to judge where they perceive a greater difference between a pair of stimuli from a first content i and from a second content j.

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix}
    (S_1^1, S_2^1, S_1^2, S_2^2) \\
    (S_1^1, S_3^1, S_3^2, S_6^2)
\end{pmatrix} \]

Intra content quadruplets comparisons for content 1 and 2, respectively.
Simulation setup

How to simulate a group of contents?

- Gaussian distributions with $\mu$ and $\sigma$
- Sampling $\mu$ and $\sigma$ for $L$ distortion levels of each of the $N$ contents

Evaluation of the proposed solver:

- RMSE between simulated ground truths and estimates at different experimental effort levels (number of annotations)
Experimental Results

Proposed method AFAD can save on average 39.7% of the experimental effort compared to full design of inter quadruplet comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>full design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-connect design</td>
<td>-0.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference design</td>
<td>-26.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consecutive design</td>
<td>228%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFAD</td>
<td>-39.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

- Human perception can drive encoding algorithms
- Importance of intra and inter content scaling
- Proposed a extension of MLDS solver for inter content scaling
- In future works, we will benchmark the proposed methodology against other subjective methodologies and solving models on real subjective data.
- Application to collect a large scale dataset
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